1			
2			
3		TESTIMONY OF A. R. WATTS	
4		FOR	
5 6		THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF SOUTH CAROLINA	
7			
8 9		DOCKET NO. 2004-2-E	
10	IN RE: SOUTH CAROLINA ELECTRIC & GAS COMPANY		
11 12		Annual Review of Base Rates for Fuel Costs	
13			
14			
15	Q.	WOULD YOU PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, BUSINESS ADDRESS AND	
16		OCCUPATION?	
17	A.	A. R. Watts, 101 Executive Center Drive, Columbia, South Carolina. I am employed	
18		by The Public Service Commission of South Carolina, Utilities Department, as Chief	
19		of Electric.	
20	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND	
21		EXPERIENCE.	
22	A.	I received a Bachelor of Science Degree in Electrical Engineering from the	
23		University of South Carolina in Columbia in 1976. I was employed at that time by	
24		this Commission as a Utilities Engineer in the Electric Department and was	
25		promoted to Chief of the Electric Department in August 1981. I have been in my	
26		current position since October 1999. I have testified before this Commission in	
27		conjunction with fuel clause, complaint, territorial assignment, Siting Act, and	
28		general rate proceedings.	
29	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS	
30		PROCEEDING?	
31	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to summarize Staff's findings as set forth in the	
32		Utilities Department's portion of the Staff Report.	
33			

1	Q.	WHAT SPECIFIC AREA	S WERE ENCOMPASSED BY	Y
---	----	--------------------	-----------------------	---

2.	STAFF'S	EXAMINA	TION?
_		THE STATE OF	111011

- 3 A. The Utilities Department's examination of the Company's fuel operations consisted
- of a review of the Company's monthly operating reports, as well as a review of the
- 5 Company's short-term projections of kilowatt-hour sales and fuel costs.

6 Q. DID STAFF EXAMINE THE COMPANY'S PLANT OPERATIONS FOR

7 THE PERIOD?

- 8 A. Yes, we reviewed the Company's operation of its generating facilities, including
- 9 special attention to the nuclear plant operations, to determine if the Company made
- every reasonable effort to minimize fuel costs.

11 Q. HAVE YOU DETERMINED THAT ANY SITUATIONS WARRANT

- 12 **DETERMINATION THAT THE COMPANY HAS ACTED**
- 13 UNREASONABLY IN OPERATING ITS FACILITIES AND THEREBY
- 14 CAUSING ITS CUSTOMERS TO BE SUBJECT TO PAYING HIGHER
- 15 **FUEL COSTS?**
- 16 A. No. The VC Summer Nuclear Station operated very well during this review period,
- achieving an overall average capacity factor in excess of 87 % which included a
- 18 complete refueling outage.
- The Company's nuclear as well as other major units' availability and capacity
- factors are shown on Utilities Department Exhibit No. 1, while Exhibit Nos. 2A and
- 21 2B show the Company's nuclear and fossil unit outages for the months of March
- 22 2003 through February 2004. The Company's fossil plant operations for this review
- period was adversely affected by shutdowns necessary to accommodate installation
- of environmental compliance equipment at various units along with outages at the
- 25 McMeekin Station associated with construction of the new back-up dam for Lake
- Murray. The majority of these activities have been completed.

27 Q. WOULD YOU BRIEFLY EXPLAIN THE REMAINING UTILITIES

28 **DEPARTMENT'S EXHIBITS?**

- 29 A. Exhibit No. 3 lists the Company's percentage Generation Mix by fossil, nuclear, and
- 30 hydro for the period March 2003 through February 2004. Exhibit No. 4 reflects the

1		Company's major plants by name, type of fuel used, average fuel cost in cents per
2		KWH to operate, and total megawatt-hours generated for the twelve months ending
3		February 2004. Exhibit No. 5 shows a comparison of the Company's original retail
4		megawatt-hour estimated sales to the actual sales for the period under review.
5		Exhibit No. 6 is a comparison of the original fuel factor projections to the factors
6		actually experienced for the twelve months ending February 2004. Exhibit No. 7 is a
7		graphical representation of the data in Exhibit No. 6. Exhibit No. 8 is the
8		Company's currently approved Retail Adjustment for Fuel Costs tariff. Exhibit No.
9		9 is a history of the cumulative recovery account. Exhibit No. 10 is a table of
10		estimates for the cumulative recovery account balance for various base level fuel
11		factors for the period ending April 2005 including the cumulative account under-
12		recovery balance through April 2004 of \$17,429,464. This produces an overall
13		recovery factor of 1.954 cents per kilowatt-hour that is estimated to result in an
14		ending period over collected balance of \$30,796. This Exhibit does not include the
15		effect of the possible adjustment to the cumulative recovery account of \$25,618,063
16		referenced in Mr. Hendrix's testimony.
1.7	_	DODG THIS GOVER HER WOULD TEGETH CONVO

17 DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?

18 Yes, it does. Α.